
Seminář v Bratislavě (Tuesday, May 15, 2007, 14.00)

Modelování nestabilit

v kvazi-hermitovské kvantové mechanice

neboli taky, v překladu do angličtiny,

What should we all know about

pseudo-Hermitian models in quantum mechanics

Miloslav Znojil, Nuclear Physics Institute, 250 68 Řež, Czech Republic

email: znojil@ujf.cas.cz

A.

INSTABILITIES

A. I.

A small sample of quantum instabilities

- strongly attractive Coulomb (relativistic)
- too attractive centrifugal force (nonrelativistic)
- 2D oscillator in cranking regime [$\omega_x = 3$, $\omega_x = 2$, $\Omega \in (2, 3)$]

A. II.

The respective reasons of these instabilities

- (Dirac Coulomb): **antiparticles**, i.e., **physics** enters the scene
- (centrifugal $\ell \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}$): **\mathcal{PT} -symmetry** enters the scene (Znojil 1999)
- (cranking): **pseudo-Hermiticity** enters the scene

(W. D. Heiss and R. Nazmitdinov, 2007)

A. III.

What is \mathcal{PT} -symmetry ?

- (Buslaev and Grecchi 1993): symmetry of $H = p^2 + \omega^2 x^2 - x^4$
- (Bender and Boettcher 1998): symmetry of $H = p^2 - (ix)^{2+\varepsilon}$ with $\varepsilon \geq 0$
- (Mostafazadeh 2002): special case of \mathcal{P} -**pseudo-Hermiticity**
- (Znojil 2005): special case of **quantum toboggans**
(Phys. Lett. A 342 (2005) 36 - 47 and
J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 (2006) 13325 - 13336)

A. IV.

What is pseudo-Hermiticity ?

- (textbooks): symmetry of H such that $H^\dagger = \mathcal{P} H \mathcal{P}^{-1}$, with $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}^\dagger$
- (Mostafazadeh 2002): \mathcal{P} need not be known
- (Solombrino 2002/ Znojil 2006): \mathcal{P} need not be self-adjoint
(\implies the “weak”/“strengthened” pseudo-Hermiticity)
(M.Z., Phys. Lett. A 353 (2006) 463 - 468 and
J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 (2006) 4047 - 4061)

B.
MODELS

B. I.

Are we still *inside* quantum mechanics?

- answer (Scholtz, Geyer and Hahne 1992): YES.
(F.G.S., H.B.G. and F.J.W.H., Ann. Phys. 213 (1992) 74)
- re-answered: Mostafazadeh 2002, Bender et al 2002, Znojil 2004
- essence: there exists **another** symmetry of H such that $H^\dagger = \Theta H \Theta^{-1}$, $\Theta > 0$
- varying notation: η_+ , \mathcal{CP} , $\exp Q$, \mathcal{PQ} . The series of dedicated conferences:
(\implies <http://gemma.ujf.cas.cz/~znojil>)
- the most recent review: C. Bender, hep-th/0703096.

B. II.

ODEs with $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$:

$$H^{(BB)}(\nu) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + g(x) x^2, \quad g(x) = \omega^2 + (ix)^\nu, \quad \nu \geq 0$$

typically, \mathcal{PT} -symmetric:

$$H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + U(x) + iW(x) \neq H^\dagger, \quad U(x) = U(-x), \quad W(x) = -W(-x),$$

B. III.

in the basis:

$$|\psi_+\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{N_+} |2m\rangle \phi_m, \quad |\psi_-\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{N_-} |2m+1\rangle \chi_m$$

i.e., parity-partitioned, complex, symmetric matrices:

$$\tilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix} S & iB \\ iB^T & L \end{pmatrix},$$

B. IV.

normalization trick $|\psi\rangle = |\psi_+\rangle - i|\psi_-\rangle$

♡ : *equivalence to the asymmetric **real** matrices:*

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} S & +B \\ -B^T & L \end{pmatrix}, \quad |n\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\phi}_n \\ \vec{\chi}_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad |n\rangle\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\phi}_n \\ -\vec{\chi}_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

◇ : *reality of spectra, quasihermiticity:*

$$H^\dagger = \Theta H \Theta^{-1}, \quad I \neq \Theta = \Theta^\dagger > 0.$$

with $H = \sum_n |n\rangle \frac{E_n}{\langle\langle n|n\rangle\rangle} \langle\langle n|$ and $\Theta = \sum_n |n\rangle\langle\langle n| t_n \langle\langle n|$

using **two definitions:** $H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$ and $\langle\langle n|H = E_n\langle\langle n|$

♠ : *exactly solvable two-by-two example*

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & b \\ -b & l \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$$

$l - s = 2$, shift $s = -1, l = 1$, get $E = E_{\pm} = \sqrt{1 - b^2}$, $\mathcal{D}^{(1)} = (-1, 1)$

♣ **the metric:**

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \implies 2bT = -B(a + d)$$

positivity $\theta_{1,2} > 0 \iff b \neq 0 \neq a + d = 2Z$

reparametrize $a = Z(1 + \xi), d = Z(1 - \xi)$,

family $1 > \sqrt{\xi^2 + \sin^2 \alpha}, \quad 0 \leq \xi < \cos \alpha$

C.

MATRICES

C. I.

Runge Kutta square wells (not today):

$$H^{(RK)} = \begin{bmatrix} iV(x_{-2}) & h & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h & iV(x_{-1}) & h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h & iV(x_0) & h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h & iV(x_1) & h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h & iV(x_2) \end{bmatrix} .$$

- see the paper M. Znojil, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 (2006) 10247
and also M. Znojil, quant-ph/0704.0214 [hep-th] (2. 4. 2007)
- Chebyshev eigenvectors at a constant potential V ,
partitioning and solution by the matching method.

C. II. Variational anharmonic (today):

HO plus a *manifestly non-selfadjoint* and *nonlocal* term,

$$H^{(AHO)} = H^{(HO)} + \sum_{\substack{m, n = 1 \\ |m - n| = 1}}^N |m^{(HO)}\rangle h(m, n) \langle n^{(HO)}|$$

$$H^{(HO)} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |n^{(HO)}\rangle (2n - 1) \langle n^{(HO)}|$$

plus “U-D symmetry”,

$$H^{(N)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - N & g_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -g_1 & 3 - N & g_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -g_2 & 5 - N & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & -g_3 & \ddots & g_2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & N - 3 & g_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -g_1 & N - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

C.III. $N = 3$:

Beneficial effect of a new degree of freedom

♡ **idea:** regularize $H^{(2)}$ near $a^{(EP)} = \pm 1$,

$$H^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a \\ -a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow H^{(3)} = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 3+c & 0 & b \\ \hline 0 & -1 & a \\ -b & -a & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

◇ **we know:** at $c = 0$ and $b > 0$, $\det(H^{(3)} - E) = 0$ means

$$-E^3 + 3E^2 + (-a^2 + 1 - b^2)E - 3 + 3a^2 - b^2 = 0.$$

and conclude: **energies real again:**

Miloslav Znojil, A return to observability near exceptional points in a schematic PT-symmetric model Phys. Lett. B 647 (2007) 225 - 230 (quant-ph/0701232).

♣ EPs $\partial\mathcal{D}^{(3)}$, 1st step: at $ab = 0$,

$$a \in \mathcal{D}^{(3)}|_{b=0} = (-1, 1), \quad b \in \mathcal{D}^{(3)}|_{a=0} = (-1, 1).$$

the square of pairwise mergers of energies

$$(a, b) \in \{ (1, 0), (0, 1), (-1, 0), (0, -1) \},$$

2nd step: triple mergers of the energy levels,

$$\{(E - z)^3 = 0\} \implies \{-3 = 1 - a^2 - b^2, \quad 1 = -3 + 3a^2 - b^2\}.$$

a bigger square of the four triple-energy-mergers,

$$(a, b) \in \{ (\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}), (-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}), (-\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}), (\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}) \}.$$

3rd step, at $b > a > 0$:

doubly degenerate $z = 1 + \beta$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$ (merger of $E_{1,2} = 1, 3$)

and an “observer” energy $y = -1 + 2\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$

$$-(E - z)^2(E - y) = -E^3 + (2z + y)E^2 - (z^2 + 2yz)E + yz^2 = 0$$

gives $\alpha + \beta = 1$ plus a set of two equations,

$$a^2 + b^2 = 4 - 3\beta^2, \quad 3a^2 - b^2 = 4 - 3\beta^2 - 2\beta^3$$

and the desired one-parametric definition of $\partial\mathcal{D}^{(3)}$:

$$a = a_{\pm} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(4 - 3\beta^2 - \beta^3)}, \quad b = b_{\pm} = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(4 - 3\beta^2 + \beta^3)}$$

with $\beta \in (-1, 1)$.

NEXT-NEIGHBOUR INTERACTIONS

FOUR channels, discouraging

Schrödinger-equation

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} -3 & 0 & c & b \\ 0 & 1 & a & d \\ \hline -c & -a & -1 & 0 \\ -b & -d & 0 & 3 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 \\ \phi_1 \\ \chi_0 \\ \chi_1 \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 \\ \phi_1 \\ \chi_0 \\ \chi_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e., an exactly solvable secular equation

$$E^4 - (10 - a^2 - b^2 - c^2 - d^2) E^2 - 4 (c^2 - d^2) E + C(a, b, c, s) = 0,$$

$$C(a, b, c, d) = 9 - 9a^2 - b^2 + 3c^2 + 3d^2 + a^2b^2 + c^2d^2 - 2abcd.$$

For $(E - z)^4 = E^4 = 0$ giving $c^2 = d^2$ and $C(a, b, c, d) = 0$, i.e.,

$$(d^2 - \alpha)(d^2 - \beta) = 0 \text{ where } \alpha = (b + 3)(a - 1) \text{ and } \beta = (b - 3)(a + 1).$$

Thus,

$$a^2 + c^2 + b^2 + d^2 = 10.$$

All the domain \mathcal{D} lies inside a circumscribed hypersphere. Cf.:

Miloslav Znojil, Determination of the domain of the admissible matrix elements in the four-dimensional PT-symmetric anharmonic model

Phys. Lett. A, in print (available online) (quant-ph/0703168).

D.

Domains \mathcal{D} of quasihermiticity

♡ four by four model has similar $\mathcal{D}^{(4)}$ since

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 3 - E & b & 0 & 0 \\ -b & 1 - E & a & 0 \\ 0 & -a & -1 - E & b \\ 0 & 0 & -b & -3 - E \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$s^2 + (-10 + 2b^2 + a^2)s + 9 + 6b^2 - 9a^2 + b^4 = 0$$

$$s = s_{\pm} = 5 - b^2 - 1/2 a^2 \pm 1/2 \sqrt{64 - 64b^2 + 16a^2 + 4b^2a^2 + a^4}.$$

◇ five by five model has similar $\mathcal{D}^{(5)}$ since

$$H^{(5)} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & 2 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a & -2 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -b & -4 \end{bmatrix} .$$

$$-s^2 + (20 - 2b^2 - 2a^2)s - 64 - 16b^2 + 32a^2 - b^4 - 2a^2b^2 = 0 .$$

$$E_{\pm 1} = \pm \sqrt{10 - a^2 - b^2 - \sqrt{36 + 12a^2 + a^4 - 36b^2}} ,$$

$$E_{\pm 2} = \pm \sqrt{10 - a^2 - b^2 + \sqrt{36 + 12a^2 + a^4 - 36b^2}} .$$

♠ $N = 2K$ and $N = 2K + 1$ are similar:

$E_0 = 0$ plus pairs $E_n = -E_{-n} = \sqrt{s}$ with $n = 1, 2,$

$$s^K + P_{K-1}(A, B, \dots) s^{K-1} + P_{K-2}(A, B, \dots) s^{K-2} + \dots = 0$$

♠ $N = 2K$ and $N = 2K + 1$ are similar:

$E_0 = 0$ plus pairs $E_n = -E_{-n} = \sqrt{s}$ with $n = 1, 2,$

$$s^K + P_{K-1}(A, B, \dots) s^{K-1} + P_{K-2}(A, B, \dots) s^{K-2} + \dots = 0$$

♠ ♠ K polynomial equations for K unknowns:

$$P_{K-1}(A^{(EEP)}, B^{(EEP)}, \dots) = 0,$$

$$P_{K-2}(A^{(EEP)}, B^{(DEEP)}, \dots) = 0,$$

...

$$P_0(A^{(EEP)}, B^{(EEP)}, \dots) = 0.$$

♠ ♠ ♠ solution: Gröbner

♣ $N = 4$, quadratic, solvable:

$$A + 2B = 10, \quad (3 + B)^2 = 9A$$

solution $A = 64$ and $B = -27$ is spurious,

the cusp is unique: $A^{(EEP)} = 4$ and $B^{(EEP)} = 3$.

♣ ♣ $N = 5$, quadratic, solvable:

inequalitites define **all the domain** \mathcal{D} :

$$10 \geq A + B \quad (\text{circumscribed simplex}),$$

$$36 + 12A + A^2 \geq 36B \quad [B_{max} = B_{max}(A) = \text{parabola}]$$

$$(8 + B)^2 \geq (32 - 2B)A \quad [A_{max} = A_{max}(B)].$$

$$N = 6$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 5 - E & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -c & 3 - E & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -b & 1 - E & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a & -1 - E & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -b & -3 - E & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -c & -5 - E \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& E^6 + (2b^2 - 35 + a^2 + 2c^2) E^4 + \\
& + (-34a^2 + 2b^2c^2 + 28c^2 + b^4 + 2c^2a^2 + c^4 - 44b^2 + 259) E^2 + \\
& + a^2c^4 + 225a^2 + 30c^2a^2 - 225 - 10b^2c^2 - 25b^4 - 30c^2 - c^4 - 150b^2 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$416 C^4 + 20909 C^3 + 22505 C^2 + 28734375 C - 48828125 = 0$$

$$A^{(EEP)} = 9, \quad B^{(EEP)} = 8, \quad C^{(EEP)} = 5, \quad N = 6,$$

there exist two further real roots: $C_- = -65.80360706$ (spurious) and $C_+ = 1.693394621$ such that B is negative:

$$22156250 B_+ + 2912 C_+^3 + 1446363 C_+^2 + 820546875 + 9654410 C_+ = 0$$

$$N = 7$$

$$A^{(EEP)} = 12, \quad B^{(EEP)} = 10, \quad C^{(EEP)} = 6,$$

the only positive root $C_+ = 68.24318125$ gives the negative $B = 28 - 3C$.

spurious (negative) also:

one of the two roots $C_{\pm} = 27 \pm 9\sqrt{21}$ of $C^2 - 54C = 972$

and *both* the roots $-354 \pm 60\sqrt{34}$ of $C^2 + 708C + 2916 = 0$

$$N = 8$$

$\mathcal{D}^{(8)}$ circumscribed by the simplex

$$A + 2B + 2C + 2D = 84.$$

quadratic, cubic and quartic polynomial equations $P_2(A, B, C, D) = 0$, $P_1(A, B, C, D) = 0$ and $P_0(A, B, C, D) = 0$

containing 13, 19 and 20 individual terms, respectively

reduced to 9-terms in P_2 ,

$$1974 + (B + C + D)^2 + 2AD + 2BD + 2AC = 83A + 142B + 70C - 50D$$

etc.

Groebner polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned} & 314432 D^{17} - 5932158016 D^{16} + 4574211144896 D^{15} + \\ & + 3133529909492864 D^{14} + 917318495163561932 D^{13} + \dots \\ & + \dots + 235326754101824439936800228806905073 D^2 - \\ & - 453762279414621179815552897029039797 D + \\ & + 153712881941946532798614648361265167 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

gives the unique, closed solution

$$A^{(EEP)} = 16, \quad B^{(EEP)} = 15, \quad C^{(EEP)} = 12, \quad D^{(EEP)} = 7, \quad N = 8.$$

It possesses seven other real and positive roots D . Three other are real but manifestly spurious, -203.9147095 , -156.6667001 , -55.49992441 . For the remaining four roots 0.4192854385 , 5.354156128 , 1354.675195 and 18028.16789 we have indirectly proved spuriousity again. For example, A given by the rule $\alpha \times A =$ (a polynomial in D of 16th degree) where the number of digits in α exceeds one hundred.

$$N = 9$$

$$14745600 - 7372800 A + \dots + (-2 C + 220 - 2 B - 2 A - 2 D) s^4 - s^5 = 0$$

$$A^{(EEP)} = 20, \quad B^{(EEP)} = 18, \quad C^{(EEP)} = 14, \quad D^{(EEP)} = 8, \quad N = 9.$$

E.

EXTRAPOLATIONS

Extrapolation to all even $N = 2K$

Circumscribed simplex

$$A + 2 (B + C + \dots + Z) = \frac{4K^3 - K}{3}$$

or ellipsoid,

$$a^2 + 2b^2 + \dots + 2z^2 \leq \frac{4K^3 - K}{3}.$$

Test by insertion performed for the resulting cusps:

$$A^{(EEP)} = K^2, B^{(EEP)} = K^2 - 1^2, C^{(EEP)} = K^2 - 2^2, D^{(EEP)} = K^2 - 3^2, \dots$$

i.e., $a^{(EEP)} = \pm K$, $b^{(EEP)} = \pm\sqrt{K^2 - 1}$ etc.

Extrapolation to all odd $N = 2M + 1$

$$A^{(EEP)} = M(M+1), \quad B^{(EEP)} = M(M+1) - 1 \cdot 2 = M(M+1) - 2,$$

$$C^{(EEP)} = M(M+1) - 2 \cdot 3, \quad D^{(EEP)} = M(M+1) - 3 \cdot 4, \quad \dots$$

$$A + B + C + D + \dots + Z = \frac{2M^3 + 3M^2 + M}{3}$$

$$a^2 + b^2 + \dots + z^2 \leq \frac{2M^3 + 3M^2 + M}{3}$$

intersections at 2^M EEP points

$$a^{(EEP)} = \pm\sqrt{M(M+1)}, \quad b^{(EEP)} = \pm\sqrt{M(M+1) - 2} \text{ etc.}$$

All the results D and E are freshly published:

Miloslav Znojil,

Maximal couplings in PT-symmetric chain-models with the real
spectrum of energies

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007) 4863 - 4875.

(math-ph/0703070).

F.

FINE-TUNING

F. I. Reparametrization

a routine return to the self-adjointness:

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle \rightarrow \langle \phi | \Theta | \psi \rangle, \langle x | \Theta | x' \rangle \neq 0$$

couplings

$$g_k^2 = G_k^{(N)} \left(1 - \gamma_k^{(N)} \right), \quad G_k^{(N)} = k(N - k), \quad \gamma_k^{(N)} \in (0, 1)$$

task: explore the “physical” domain \mathcal{D}

Two-by-two case

$$H^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{1-\alpha} \\ -\sqrt{1-\alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1)$$

$$E_{\pm}^{(2)} = \pm\sqrt{\alpha}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(2)}(\alpha) \equiv (0, 1)$$

Three-by-three model

$$H^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & \sqrt{2-2\alpha} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2-2\alpha} & 0 & \sqrt{2-2\alpha} \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2-2\alpha} & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1)$$

$$E_0^{(3)} = 0 \text{ and } E_{\pm}^{(3)} = \pm 2\sqrt{\alpha}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(3)}(\alpha) \equiv (0, 1)$$

Four-by-four model

$$H^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & \sqrt{3-3\beta} & 0 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{3-3\beta} & -1 & 2\sqrt{1-\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & -2\sqrt{1-\alpha} & 1 & \sqrt{3-3\beta} \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{3-3\beta} & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in (0, 1).$$

$$s^2 - (6\beta + 4\alpha)s - 36\beta + 36\alpha + 9\beta^2 = 0$$

$$s_{\pm} = 3\beta + 2\alpha \pm 2\sqrt{3\beta\alpha + \alpha^2 + 9\beta - 9\alpha}.$$

we must guarantee that $s_{\pm} \geq 0$

(a) reality: the curve of the minimal β ,

$$\beta \geq \beta_{\text{minimal}} = \frac{9\alpha - \alpha^2}{9 + 3\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

(b) $s_- \geq 0$: a minimum of α ,

$$\alpha \geq \alpha_{\text{minimal}} = \beta - \frac{\beta^2}{4}, \quad \beta \in (0, 1).$$

Five-by-five model

$$H^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 2\sqrt{1-\beta} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2\sqrt{1-\beta} & -2 & \sqrt{6-6\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{6-6\alpha} & 0 & \sqrt{6-6\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{6-6\alpha} & 2 & 2\sqrt{1-\beta} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\sqrt{1-\beta} & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$s^2 - P_1^{(5)}(g_1, g_2) s + P_2^{(5)}(g_1, g_2) = 0$$

$$P_1^{(5)}(g_1, g_2) = 8\beta + 12\alpha, \quad P_2^{(5)}(g_1, g_2) = 48\alpha\beta - 144\beta + 144\alpha + 16\beta^2.$$

$$P_2 \geq 0, \quad P_1^2 - 4P_2 \geq 0.$$

(b) $\alpha \rightarrow A$, $\alpha = \alpha(A) = \beta + A\beta^2$, $A \geq -4/(3\beta + 9)$ in the $A - \beta$ plane

(c) $\beta \rightarrow B$ with $\beta = \beta(B) = \alpha + B\alpha^2$, $B \geq -1/4$

(d) $\beta = \beta[A] = 2\alpha/(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha A})$ etc.

Six-by-six model

$$g_1 = c = \sqrt{5(1-\gamma)}, \quad g_2 = b = 2\sqrt{2(1-\beta)}, \quad g_3 = a = 3\sqrt{1-\alpha}$$

$$H^{(6)} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -g_1 & -3 & g_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -g_2 & -1 & g_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -g_3 & 1 & g_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -g_2 & 3 & g_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -g_1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} .$$

$$\det(H^{(6)} - EI) = s^3 - 3Ps^2 + 3Qs - R = 0, \quad s = E^2 .$$

$$P = -(a^2 + 2b^2 + 2c^2 - 35) / 3$$

$$3Q = b^4 + 2c^2a^2 - 44b^2 + 28c^2 - 34a^2 + c^4 + 259 + 2b^2c^2$$

$$-R = a^2c^4 - 10b^2c^2 + 30c^2a^2 + 225a^2 - 30c^2 - c^4 - 25b^4 - 225 - 150b^2$$

G.

Summary

Remember: we have elementary matrix models

where the energies can get complex sometimes

- “user-friendly” tridiagonal $H^{(N)}$;
- all types of degeneracies of the energy pairs (followed by their \mathcal{PT} –symmetry-related complexifications) at all dimensions;
- *precisely* the necessary number of parameters;
- exact solvability
- tunable scenarios of “the first” or “instability” complexification